IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

RMAIL LIMITED,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	CAUSE NO. 2:10-CV-258-JRG
	§	
AMAZON.COM, INC., et al.,	§	CONSOLIDATED
Defendants.	§	COMBOLIDITIE
Dejenaunis.	8 8	
	8	
DMAIL LIMITED of al	s	
RMAIL LIMITED, et al.,	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
V.	§	CAUSE NO. 2:11-CV-299-JRG
	§	
DOCUSIGN, INC.	§	MEMBER
Defendant.	§	
·	§	
RPOST HOLDINGS, INC., et al.,	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
,	§	
V.	§	CAUSE NO. 2:11-CV-325-JRG
•	§	
ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., et al.,	8 8	MEMBER
		MILMIDER
Defendants.	§	
	§	

INITIAL PRETRIAL ORDER

The Court held pretrial hearings on July 29, 2013 and heard argument on Docusign's Motion to Limit Number of Asserted Claims (Dkt. No. 524), Docusign's Motion to Compel Reimbursement of Experts Under FRCP 26(b)(4)(E) (Dkt. No. 529), Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Return of Confidential Mediation Document (Dkt. No. 533), Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Intervening Rights (Dkt. No. 359), Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of

Invalidity Under 35 USC § 101 (Dkt. No. 372), Adobe and Echosign's (collectively, "Adobe") Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Standing (Dkt. No. 361), Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Infringement Contentions (Dkt. No. 419), Adobe and Echosign's Motion for Summary Judgment of No Indirect Infringement (Dkt. No. 376) and Docusign's Motion for Summary Judgment of No Indirect Infringement (Dkt. No. 386), and Docusign's Motion for Summary Judgment on Willfulness (Dkt. No. 389). The Court announced its rulings and reasoning into the record. Such rulings are memorialized herein. Any clarification and/or modification to such motions, as stated by the Court during such hearing, fully applies to the rulings as stated below, and such rulings do not exclude any clarification and/or modification as stated in the record.

The Court hereby adopts the parties' agreement to limit the total number of asserted claims to twelve (12). Accordingly, Docusign's Motion to Limit Number of Asserted Claims (Dkt. No. 524) is **DENIED-AS-MOOT**, pursuant to the Court's reasoning as fully set forth in the record.

Docusign's Motion to Compel Reimbursement of Experts Under FRCP 26(b)(4)(E) (Dkt. No. 529) is **CARRIED**.

Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Return of Confidential Mediation Document (Dkt. No. 533) is **GRANTED**, pursuant to the Court's reasoning as fully set forth in the record.

Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment on Intervening Rights (Dkt. No. 359) is **DENIED**, pursuant to the Court's reasoning as fully set forth in the record.

Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Under 35 USC § 101 (Dkt. No. 372) is **DENIED**, pursuant to the Court's reasoning as fully set forth in the record.

Adobe and Echosign's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Standing (Dkt. No. 361) is **DENIED**, pursuant to the Court's reasoning as fully set forth in the record.

Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Infringement Contentions (Dkt. No. 419), is **GRANTED**,

pursuant to the Court's reasoning as fully set forth in the record.

Adobe and Echosign's Motion for Summary Judgment of No Indirect Infringement (Dkt.

No. 376) and Docusign's Motion for Summary Judgment of No Indirect Infringement (Dkt. No.

386) are **DENIED-AS-MOOT**. The Court previously entered Plaintiff's Dismissal of Issues

indicating that it will not present evidence at trial regarding allegations of indirect infringement

against Docusign, Adobe, and Echosign, and dismissing such allegations with prejudice as to this

case. (See Dkt. No. 544.)

Docusign's Motion for Summary Judgment on Willfulness (Dkt. No. 389) is CARRIED.

This order memorializes the rulings announced during the pretrial hearings held on July 29,

2013. However, this order does not limit the rulings as announced and as may be more fully

explained by the Court's pronouncements into the record as a part of such hearing.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 1st day of August, 2013.

RODNEY GILSTRAP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE